



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

**COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS** Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. T SANSH5.890A 09/676,895 10/02/00 MASHIKO **EXAMINER** PM82/1031 020995 SWINFHART . E KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SIXTEENTH FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660 3617 **DATE MAILED:** 10/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Annlination No	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover she	et beneath the correspondence address-
Period for Reply	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set</li> </ul>	a reply within the statutory nault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		•
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>	ept for formal matters, <b>p</b> 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	rosecution as to the merits is closed in 213.
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 1 - 17		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		
1/19	<i>,</i>	
Claim(s)		is/are reiected.
<b>X</b>		is/are rejected.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)		is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approve	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approve	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approve jected to by the Examine	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approve jected to by the Examine	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approve jected to by the Examine	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ad   disapproved.  er.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approve jected to by the Examine	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ad □ disapproved.  ar.  (a)-(d).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are obj □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ■ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ■ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies ■ Treceived. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ving Review, PTO-948 is	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ad
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ad
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are obj □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ■ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ■ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies ■ Treceived. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ving Review, PTO-948 is  approve jected to by the Examine  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 of the priority document inber) nternational Bureau (PC	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ad □ disapproved.  ar.  (a)-(d).  s have been  T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ■ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ■ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies ■ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the least	ving Review, PTO-948 is  approve jected to by the Examine  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 of the priority document inber) nternational Bureau (PC	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ad □ disapproved.  ar.  (a)-(d).  s have been  T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun □ received in this national stage application from the li  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	ving Review, PTO-948 is	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ad  disapproved.  er.  (a)-(d). s have been  T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is approve it approve it is approve it is approve it is approve it is is approve it is	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ad □ disapproved.  er.  (a)-(d). s have been  T Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,895 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 sets forth "a second set of valves comprising at least one valve", and such is improper, as one valve cannot constitute a set. Likewise, the "first set of passages" and second set of passages" are confusing for the same reason.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakase et al. in view of Isaka.

Nakase et al. teaches the field of the invention, including a twin overhead cam engine having a cylinder bank inclined to one side of a center plane. Nakase et al. fails to disclose more intake passages than exhaust passages, as is considered to have been old and well known in the art.

Art Unit: 3617

Isaka teaches a five valve head, in which there are provided three intake valves an passages, and two exhaust valves and passages.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide three intake valves and passages and two exhaust valves and passages to the head of Nakase et al. as taught by Isaka.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time of the invention was made so as to provide for improved charging efficiency.

- 4. Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 3600 by facsimile transmission. The submission of such papers by facsimile transmission must comply with the notice published in the Official Gazette, **1096 OG 30** (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center number is (703)-305-7687.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed L. Swinehart whose telephone number is (703)-308-2566.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center 3600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

October 30, 2001

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617